

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08
Local Participating Jurisdictions with No Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): **HAMMOND**, **IN**

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: **\$9,939,001**


PJ Since (FY): **1992**

Category	PJ	Average	Rank*
<u>Program Progress:</u>			
% of Funds Committed	92.88 %	88.05 %	7 / 34
% of Funds Disbursed	80.88 %	77.95 %	13 / 34
Leveraging Ratio	0.17	1.77	28 / 32
% Disbursements on Completed Activities to Commitments on All Activities**	79.65 %	76.08 %	14 / 33
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations**	64.54 %	63.31 %	15 / 28
<u>Occupancy:</u>			
% of Occupied Homebuyer Units to All Completed Homebuyer Units**	100.00 %	98.47 %	1 / 33
<u>Overall Ranking:</u>			15 / 34
<u>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</u>			
Homebuyer Unit	\$72,654	\$18,503	16 Units 6.10 %
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$27,278	\$17,607	245 Units 93.90 %
TBRA Unit	\$0	\$2,669	0 Units 0.00 %

* - The Rank compares the 34 local HOME PJs that do not participate in rental production activities. A rank of 1 is the highest; a rank of 34 is the lowest.

** - This category is double-weighted in the Overall Ranking.

Graphic Representation of Ranking Comparison



The graph above is a visual representation of the PJ's rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJ's performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of the PJs that are being compared in this report.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

